



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,649	08/19/2003	Peter Deane	PAT 2139-2-US	3737
26123 7590 10/10/2007 BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP Anne Kinsman WORLD EXCHANGE PLAZA 100 QUEEN STREET SUITE 1100 OTTAWA, ON K1P 1J9 CANADA			EXAMINER TSEGAYE, SABA	
			ART UNIT 2619	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 10/10/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipinfo@blgcanada.com  
aarmstrongbaker@blgcanada.com  
akinsman@blgcanada.com

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/642,649

Applicant(s)

DEANE ET AL.

Examiner

Saba Tsegaye

Art Unit

2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/12/07
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Response to Amendment***

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 07/18/07. Claims 1-19 are pending. Currently no claims are in condition for allowance.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott(US 6,522,642 B1) in view of Lam (US 6,721,506).

Regarding claims 1-4 and 12, Scott discloses, in figs. 2 and 4, an apparatus for processing N number of input signals having a common frequency, said apparatus comprising:

at least N-1 number of modulators for modulating N-1 of said N number of input signals into N-1 number of modulated signals (column 3, lines 34-47);

a combiner for combining said modulated signals along with one non-modulated signal into an aggregate signal (column 4, lines 32-35);

N-1 number of demodulators for demodulating said aggregate signal, each said demodulator corresponding to one of said modulators (column 6, lines 1-6; column 13, lines 16-25); and

Art Unit: 2616

N number of duplexer filters each corresponding to one of said N number of input signals (column 4, line 65-column 15, line 11);

wherein said demodulators, and said duplexer filters, are arranged so as to pass N number of demodulated portions of said aggregate signal to a corresponding output and each of said demodulated portions being substantially identical to one of said N number of input signals (column 4, line 65-column 15, line 11).

Scott does not disclose number of circulators for receiving at least part of aggregate signal.

Lam teaches that cascaded grating **circulator** arrangements may be used to transmit and/or receive WDM data link. Using a chirped fiber Bragg grating coupled to and optical circulator in the transmitter and/or receiver used in a WDM PON to select one or more FSR's to be delivered to user nodes. The chirped FBG optical circulator wavelength add/drop technique is useful in coarse WDM systems, which allow for more tolerance to wavelength shifting (column 11, lines 5-34; column 3, lines 5-29).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a plurality of circulators, such as suggested by Lam, to the system of Scott in order to avoid delay and building up dispersion in the signal pulses (column 11, lines 25-37).

Regarding claim 5, Scott discloses the apparatus wherein said length of cabling spans at least a portion of an antenna structure (column 9, lines 20-28).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 6, Scott discloses the apparatus, further including a plurality of amplifiers each located such that said input signals pass through a respective one of said plurality of amplifiers prior to passing through said at least N-1 number of modulators (column 3, lines 7-11; column 4, lines 35-40).

Regarding claims 7, 13 and 14, Scott discloses the apparatus wherein said input signals are forward link transmissions and said plurality of amplifiers are high power amplifiers (column 4, lines 35-40; column 6, lines 45-51).

Regarding claims 8 and 15, Scott discloses the apparatus wherein said input signals are reverse link transmissions and said pluralities of amplifiers are low power preamplifiers (column 6, lines 45-51).

Regarding claims 9 and 16, Scott discloses the apparatus wherein said input signals are forward link transmissions and said apparatus further includes a single high power amplifier for amplifying said aggregate signal, said high power amplifier located between said combiner and said length of cabling (column 6, lines 45-51).

Regarding claims 10 and 17, Scott discloses the apparatus wherein said modulators and said demodulators operate via a modulation scheme using Walsh codes (column 12, lines 40-50).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 11 and 18, Scott discloses the apparatus wherein said modulators and said demodulators operate via a modulation scheme using Serrodynes (column 4, lines 61-64).

Regarding claim 19, Scott discloses an apparatus for processing N number of modulated, combined, and amplified input signals having a common frequency, said apparatus comprising:

a demodulator for demodulating an amplified aggregate signal consisting of said input signals, said demodulator including (column 6, lines 1-6; column 13, lines 16-25),

N-1 number of demodulators for demodulating said aggregate signal (column 11, lines 1-25); and

N number of duplexer filters each corresponding to one of said N number of input signals (column 4, line 65-column 15, line 11);

wherein said demodulators, and said duplexer filters are arranged so as to pass N number of demodulated portions of said aggregate signal to a corresponding output, each of said demodulated portions being substantially identical to one of said N number of input signals (column 4, line 65-column 15, line 11).

Scott does not disclose number of circulators for receiving at least part of aggregate signal.

Lam teaches that cascaded grating **circulator** arrangements may be used to transmit and/or receive WDM data link. Using a chirped fiber Bragg grating coupled to and optical circulator in the transmitter and/or receiver used in a WDM PON to select one or more FSR's to be delivered to user nodes. The chirped FBG optical circulator wavelength add/drop technique is

Art Unit: 2616

useful in coarse WDM systems, which allow for more tolerance to wavelength shifting (column 11, lines 5-34; column 3, lines 5-29).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a plurality of circulators, such as suggested by Lam, to the system of Scott in order to avoid delay and building up dispersion in the signal pulses (column 11, lines 25-37).

4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scot (US 6,522,642 B1) in view of Weber et al. (US 4,161,694).

Regarding claims 1-4 and 12, Scott discloses, in figs. 2 and 4, an apparatus for processing N number of input signals having a common frequency, said apparatus comprising:

at least N-1 number of modulators for modulating N-1 of said N number of input signals into N-1 number of modulated signals (column 3, lines 34-47);

a combiner for combining said modulated signals along with one non-modulated signal into an aggregate signal (column 4, lines 32-35);

N-1 number of demodulators for demodulating said aggregate signal, each said demodulator corresponding to one of said modulators (column 6, lines 1-6; column 13, lines 16-25); and

N number of duplexer filters each corresponding to one of said N number of input signals (column 4, line 65-column 15, line 11);

wherein said demodulators, and said duplexer filters, are arranged so as to pass N number of demodulated portions of said aggregate signal to a corresponding output and each of said

Art Unit: 2616

demodulated portions being substantially identical to one of said N number of input signals (column 4, line 65-column 15, line 11).

Scott does not disclose number of circulators for receiving at least part of aggregate signal.

Weber teaches a radio system in which a plurality of high-frequency channels are provided in a link between a transmitting and a receiving station and channels being **combined** at the transmitting station to form a common high-frequency group, and separated at receiving station over a cascade circuit comprising a **plurality of circulators** and a plurality of band-pass filter (see fig. 2, column 3, lines 25-52).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a plurality of circulators, such as suggested by Weber, to the system of Scott in order to keep the transmission attenuation between the particular transmitter output and associated receiver input as low as possible (column 3, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 5, Scott discloses the apparatus wherein said length of cabling spans at least a portion of an antenna structure (column 9, lines 20-28).

Regarding claim 6, Scott discloses the apparatus, further including a plurality of amplifiers each located such that said input signals pass through a respective one of said plurality of amplifiers prior to passing through said at least N-1 number of modulators (column 3, lines 7-11; column 4, lines 35-40).



Regarding claims 7, 13 and 14, Scott discloses the apparatus wherein said input signals are forward link transmissions and said plurality of amplifiers are high power amplifiers (column 4, lines 35-40; column 6, lines 45-51).

Regarding claims 8 and 15, Scott discloses the apparatus wherein said input signals are reverse link transmissions and said pluralities of amplifiers are low power preamplifiers (column 6, lines 45-51).

Regarding claims 9 and 16, Scott discloses the apparatus wherein said input signals are forward link transmissions and said apparatus further includes a single high power amplifier for amplifying said aggregate signal, said high power amplifier located between said combiner and said length of cabling (column 6, lines 45-51).

Regarding claims 10 and 17, Scott discloses the apparatus wherein said modulators and said demodulators operate via a modulation scheme using Walsh codes (column 12, lines 40-50).

Regarding claims 11 and 18, Scott discloses the apparatus wherein said modulators and said demodulators operate via a modulation scheme using Serrodyne (column 4, lines 61-64).

Regarding claim 19, Scott discloses an apparatus for processing N number of modulated, combined, and amplified input signals having a common frequency, said apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 2616

a demodulator for demodulating an amplified aggregate signal consisting of said input signals, said demodulator including (column 6, lines 1-6; column 13, lines 16-25),

N-1 number of demodulators for demodulating said aggregate signal (column 11, lines 1-25); and

N number of duplexer filters each corresponding to one of said N number of input signals (column 4, line 65-column 15, line 11);

wherein said demodulators, and said duplexer filters are arranged so as to pass N number of demodulated portions of said aggregate signal to a corresponding output, each of said demodulated portions being substantially identical to one of said N number of input signals (column 4, line 65-column 15, line 11).

Scott does not disclose number of circulators for receiving at least part of aggregate signal.

Weber teaches a radio system in which a plurality of high-frequency channels are provided in a link between a transmitting and a receiving station and channels being **combined** at the transmitting station to form a common high-frequency group, and separated at receiving station over a cascade circuit comprising **a plurality of circulators** and a plurality of band-pass filter (see fig. 2, column 3, lines 25-52).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a plurality of circulators, such as suggested by Weber, to the system of Scott in order to keep the transmission attenuation between the particular transmitter output and associated receiver input as low as possible (column 3, lines 65-67).

Art Unit: 2616

***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Saba Tsegaye  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2616

ST  
September 27, 2007

  
WING CHAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER